

wareagle82

If a govt can outlaw a legal product like tobacco, what other legal products might it look at next? There are seldom solutions in life, only tradeoffs. In this era of official overreach, it's not hard to imagine future bans on meat or baked goods or soft drinks in the name of safeguarding public health. Efforts targeting meat are already well underway, as readers here are aware, so it's not off base to pose the "what's next?" question. I neither nor vape, because as a grown man with agency, I can make such decisions for myself. The children aspect of the UK claim is a red herring; cigarettes have been off-limits to kids for a long time, just like alcohol, and that is as it should be.

But when an adult is not free to determine what to put into his or her body, then that person is not truly free. As a reminder, tobacco is a plant that humans have grown for hundreds of years and used in a variety of ways, some of those being beneficial. The UK move reflects a nanny state through which a permanent blob decides what is and is not good for us. No thanks. Freedom is under enough of an assault as it is.

Posted On 06/21/2024

barb.herbert

Agreed. It's all about choice. Give adults the information and let them decide. Down with the nanny state! I live in Canada where they have legalized possession of hard and dangerous drugs, such as fentanyl in British Columbia. Yet, I can't get natural progesterone or DHEA, because the government has deemed it "not good for me". When people call for a ban on certain products They don't realize that a product that they use or rely on could be on the chopping block next. Be careful what you wish for.

Posted On 06/21/2024

nia8624

As long as we know what it is that We are putting into our bodies

Posted On 06/21/2024

Eevee

The thing is in the UK children were particularly being targeted by the e-vapes companies, with relentless and aggressive marketing, fruity and bubblegum flavours, many others and alsorts. No one wants this sort of stuff going into young bodies. Adults that's a different matter, morally as far as freedom of choice goes, the product as stated in the article is dangerous for health.

Posted On 06/21/2024

Bassanio

Can't fault your reasoning, wareagle. Keeping us "safe" is the favourite excusr of totalitarian/globalist regimes. Well - up to a point anyway. But in the UK, the overburdened NHS is funded by all working adults, so we have a duty to "take control" of our health as suggested on this website - as a user, not an abuser of the health service. Public health was the main consideration when cigarette smoking was banned on public transport after Sir Richard Doll proved in the 1950's that smoking causes lung cancer: www.nytimes.com/2005/07/26/obituaries/sir-richard-doll-dies-at-92-link.. For public health reasons seat belts became mandatory on car journeys and vaping is not permitted on all public transport in the UK.

But that's where the responsibilities of governments appear to end. "We have a duty to protect children from the potential harms of vaping" www.gov.uk/government/publications/tobacco-and-vapes-bill-factsheets . But what about all the other culprits such as mercury, lead, cadmium, microplastics and glyphosate, e-numbers, damaged fats, CAFO meat and fish, land, sea and air pollution, geo-engineering, vaccines, chemtrails, fluoridation. The key culprits appear to be the food we eat, the air we breathe and the water we drink - i.e. life on planet earth is risky for ALL age groups.

If there were a few crocodile tears from governments about those, we would take their vaping concerns more seriously. Good news at last, with hopefully more states to follow: childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/kansas-sues-pfizer-misleading-covi.. . An amusing cartoon circulating the web: "Want some of my experimental health drink? I made it in a lab, but did not test to see if it was safe and effective. I have a record of fraud in the drink industry. Won't tell you what's in it and you can't sue me if it harms you. Any problem with that? If so, you are an ANTI-DRINKER."

Posted On 06/21/2024

gus16086

Great Comments, You Nailed it!

Posted On 06/23/2024

Guillermou

Tobacco and the taxes that originated in the State collection have always been the object of a defense of the interests of the tobacco industry and governments. E-cigs (EC) constitute a continuity of benefits for tobacco companies, after advertising that warned about the health problems of smoking. Not only does it continue the business, but it creates an addiction in young people to smoke again. The tobacco industry's interest in e-cigs (EC) is clear: today, most brands offer products of this type. In table 1 the serious lung damage and in table 2 the health effects of electronic cigarettes compared to conventional cigarettes.

www.sciencedirect.com/.../S0278691524000735 (2024).-- As reported by Dr. Mercola, the results now describe the risks related to the occurrence of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and even cancer. We report how e-cigarette aerosol exposure induces mitochondrial stress/toxicity, DNA breaks/fragmentation following the same pathological pathways triggered by tobacco smoke, including dysregulation of the molecular signaling axis associated with cancer progression and migration. cell phone. Fertility and pregnancy risks, as well as cardiovascular risks associated with e-cigarette use, have also been reported.

EC use was independently and significantly associated with increased odds of life stress and an indication of poorer quality of life. Inhalation alters gene expression, cardiovascular function, decreased heart rate, and elevated blood pressure and can lead to increased inflammation, organ damage, and cardiorenal and liver disease in experiments. Chronic exposure to e-cigarette aerosols during early development causes vascular dysfunction and growth deficiencies in offspring.

Posted On 06/21/2024

Guillermou

Maternal e-cigarette use may disrupt postnatal blood-brain barrier (BBB) integrity and impair motor, learning, and memory function. Most e-CIGS liquids, in addition to nicotine, may contain glycerol, flavors and a wide variety of other substances such as tin, lead, nickel, chromium, nitrosamines with carcinogenic potential. The average nicotine is about 15 mg/ml, but can reach 36, it is related to cardiovascular events, delayed fetal development, spontaneous abortion, premature birth and changes in brain development of young people and adolescents. In children, whose smoking threshold is much lower than in adults.

There are already cases of children who became poisoned after using their parents' e-cigarettes. The study's health risks are evident. It was determined that it causes cytotoxicity to stem cells, in relation to the aromas used, which aggravates the problem in pregnant women. Propylene glycol and glycerin can cause eye and respiratory irritation and prolonged or repeated inhalation in industrial environments can affect the central nervous and immune system. The aerosol produced contains formaldehyde and acetaldehyde, which are carcinogenic.

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.../PMC8960730 (2022).-- www.nature.com/.../s41467-022-33203-1 (2022).--
fluidsbarrierscns.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12987-023-00416-.. (2023)
link.springer.com/.../s00431-024-05499-2 (2024).---
www.sciencedirect.com/.../S0300571224000538 (2024).---
journals.lww.com/cardiologyinreview/abstract/9900/the_rising_use_of_e_.. (2024).--
academic.oup.com/ntr/article-abstract/26/5/536/7452838?login=false (2024).--
www.peertechzpublications.org/.../ATTE-8-116.pdf (2024).--- aacrjournals.org/.../745513 (2024).--
www.mdpi.com/.../2737 (2024).--

Posted On 06/21/2024

JimboJ

The pile of studies about the effects of smoking, vaping and drinking continues to grow exponentially. No doubt this is an industry in itself with grants and funding for 'for's' and 'against's' and rebuttals. The legislation continues to rise, new departments are formed, enforcers are engaged, uniforms to be made, forms, booklets, posters and other information to be printed and produced. Then the review committees, sub-committees, law reviews, think tanks and all the other hand-wringing paraphernalia that surrounds these things that keep the wheels turning. Meanwhile, the tobacco, vape and drinks industry continues to churn it out unabated. Really, it's all about money and nothing else, whichever way you look at it.

Posted On 06/21/2024

forbiddenhealing

You don't even have to vape in cities, near wildfires, in modern buildings or downwind from coal plants and refineries, but the body must deal with it all making detoxification an essential survival practice.....

substack.com/.../p-145766894

Posted On 06/21/2024

ghereinaus

Surely it's the ingredients that need to be regulated before vaping devices are banned. How much harm does vaping cause if non toxic ingredients are used?

Posted On 06/21/2024

Research Big Tobacco what they have done, how they did it then just replace Big Tobacco with whatever other Too Big to Fail you would like and you pretty much have the roadmap of what to expect. The foundation under it all, clever word games, shifting definitions. New York Post reporting on Fraudci's new book and he is still shape shifting definitions. Corruption from forked tongues doing a lot of CYA and those pursuing reform and those captured by abuse, fear, not having the experience to know how to recognize the deceit, all hear what they are expecting to hear. Corruption infections resistant to reform. Corruption spreads until it becomes what Jimboj is describing. Corruption itself becomes a business inside of the very mechanisms needed to make sure what is supposed to be being done is actually being done. Doesn't seem to matter much what the ism's are, the most corrupt have been at it forever and have massive reserves to play the long game.

Posted On 06/21/2024

Guillermou

Yes Just, an article by Dr. Mercola about industry influences, from Big Tobacco to the nuclear and pharmaceutical industries, dictated the WHO's global agenda from the beginning; It is well known that the tobacco industry launched a public relations campaign to undermine emerging science and keep cigarettes in a favorable position with the public. In its first decades, the WHO did little to oppose it. As late as 1994, tobacco workers testified before the United States Congress, saying that nicotine is not addictive. Little by little, tobacco companies were forced to publish their internal documents, which revealed their strategies to combat the WHO.

Among them was the Boca Raton Action Plan, developed by Philip Morris executives. Regarding the WHO, he stated: "This organization has extraordinary influence on the government and consumers and we must find a way to spread it" The WHO, under pressure, published a report in 2000 claiming that the tobacco industry worked for many years to subvert WHO's efforts to control tobacco use, noting: "The attempted subversion has been elaborate, well-funded, sophisticated and generally invisible".

WHO special envoy Thomas Zeltner was among those who investigated the tobacco industry and discovered that it founded institutes and bought scientists to represent its position without revealing its ties to the industry. In 2000, the documentary notes, Sullivan's collaboration with the tobacco industry became public, but he still continued to advise the WHO. Franck asked to see Sullivan's conflict of interest forms, which should have been on file, but were never given to him.

www.globalresearch.ca/trust-who-clandestine-influences-revealed/574523.. (2021).--

Posted On 06/21/2024

juststeve

Very nice summary of Big Tobacco strategies and tactics Gui, and it begs the question where are the so-called Fact Checkers when it comes to Hacks for Hire using their College Degree to scatter seeds of Deceit, Dis-Ease? Oh wait, my bad, they are pretty much mostly the same people. Recommend a read of Gui's excellent link. Clips from the link; "WHO, put under pressure, released a report in 2000 stating that the tobacco industry worked for many years to subvert WHO efforts to control tobacco use, noting, "The attempted subversion has been elaborate, well financed, sophisticated and usually invisible."4 And: "WHO special envoy Thomas Zeltner was among those who investigated the tobacco industry, finding that it founded institutes and bought scientists to represent their position without disclosing their industry ties.

The documentary shows how, six weeks before the pandemic was declared, no one at WHO was worried about the virus, but the media was nonetheless exaggerating the dangers. Then, in the month leading up to the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, WHO changed the official definition of pandemic, removing the severity and high mortality criteria and leaving the definition of a pandemic as "a worldwide epidemic of a disease."8 This switch in definition allowed WHO to declare swine flu a pandemic after only 144 people had died from the infection worldwide, and it's why COVID-19 is still promoted as a pandemic even though plenty of data suggest the lethality of COVID-19 is on par with the seasonal flu.9"

Posted On 06/21/2024

welchmf

Pyrazines deserve a bit of scrutiny, as the manufacturers don't solely rely on nicotine for creating addiction. Add to that caffeine and sugar. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.../PMC6141037

Posted On 06/21/2024

ecogreen2protonmail.com

The vast majority of vape liquids are made of a propylene glycol base, which is toxic. Also the flavors are all artificial and toxic. Most are made in China with who knows what chemicals. That said, I vape. The juice I use is 100% organic vegetable glycerin, with a small amount of organic natural flavor. No chemicals, and organic nicotine. It is indeed what is in the juice that is the issue. Supporting corrupt government safety first do-gooder Karens is not the solution.

Posted On 06/21/2024

[KCMuppets](#)

Is the same equally true of cannabis vapes?

Posted On 06/21/2024

[nia8624](#)

Who knows? But it's probably much safer to use edibles.

Posted On 06/21/2024

[catladyjan](#)

I see many many people doing this disgusting habit.....For the life of me I cannot understand why they would want to harm themselves with this poison.

Posted On 06/21/2024

Piw6958

My neighbor was a smoker and her doctor said she had heart problems and had to stop smoking. She was led to believe that vaping would help her stop smoking. Vaping seems to be more addictive than cigs. Then her doctor told her she had to lose some weight and she went on a low carb, high fat diet and started losing the advantages appear to be wearing off, but she is convinced that if she eats any carbs she will balloon up again. So for several years now she has been eating lots of meat and no veggies, vaping a lot and what it has done to her health seems to be horrific. She is terribly wrinkled, gaunt and she appears to be in her 80's (she is in her 60's) and she believes what she is doing is healthy for her. It is so sad.

Posted On 06/21/2024

anna.c60hotmail.com

they remind me of children with dummies (pacifiers) & sadly look a bit desperate!

Posted On 06/21/2024

pjucla

People know and they do it anyway. You can lead a horse to water , but you can't make them drink .

Posted On 06/21/2024

KellieBScN

I follow Dr. Mercola because I believe he takes an objective view of issues...until now. I invite Dr. Mercola to understand that vaping is a harm reduction strategy for current smokers and has dramatically reduced smoking uptake. It is well established through tens of thousands of published articles that vaping is a fraction the risk of smoking. Vaping has not only improved the health of smokers who switch but has virtually eliminated the next generation of smokers. Both of these outcomes are a direct threat to the profit margins of the pharmaceutical industry in treating acute and chronic illnesses caused from smoking.

The deception about this harm reduction strategy is implemented via the MSM, smoking-related disease nonprofits, WHO, health authorities, health-related faculties which are all funded by the pharmaceutical industry. I'm saddened to learn that Mercola.com has joined that list. For those that would like to learn the truth about vaping, please visit VAEP.info, a Canadian not-for-profit.

Posted On 06/22/2024

luckygrl

I do not believe vape products are safe, but I don't agree with a commie style crack down on consumers when it's the industry and companies producing these items that should be cracked down on. Hold the industry responsible, not the consumer. Unless a vape product comes with a copy of a chemical analysis of the batch it was made from, I would not trust any ingredients lists on packages. I really can't fathom how inhaling any vape products could be good for the lungs. I suspect the UK will one day have a booming underground black market nicotine industry to accompany the underground free speech safe havens that will pop up in response to jailing citizens for social media comments.

Posted On 06/21/2024

m231231

Know one person who vapes, and he's a bum. He use to smoke cigarettes but moved on to vaping. 40 years old and doesn't produce as in a job. Will only be time, and maybe it's already here, that weed/thc/cannibis etc will be vaped. Regarding adhd, or what I call a racing mind, runs through my siblings and I. I can't speak for them, but it helped drove me to what I accomplished. Working a string of shifts in a row was common and I thrived on it, decade after decade. However, I wouldn't suggest what I did for 45 years to anyone. Wasn't healthy skipping sleep. But my accounts sure liked whst I did.

Posted On 06/21/2024
