

Guillermou

Great report of the reality of a "science" lacking humanity and ethics. The basis of scientism is manipulation, propaganda and instilling fear. We must know the strategies that are most frequently used by the media and political elites to manipulate the masses. The French writer Sylvain Timsit published a decalogue: ----1. Promote distraction by promoting information overload, or when said information contains a strong emotional charge such as reporting tragic events -----2. Create the problems and also the solutions as the intention to cause a specific reaction, so that this public demands measures and decision-making that solve the situation such as the loss of freedoms.

----3. Appeal to gradualness by applying important changes gradually, so that public and political reactions are equally gradual and easier to contain. ----4. Infantilize the interlocutor by positioning the public as a group of naive people or incapable of taking responsibility for themselves ----5. Make people think that this measure is painful but necessary, and that it is necessary to agree on it in the present although its effects will be perceived years later. ----6. Position the public as a group of people who are naive or incapable of taking responsibility for themselves, or of making critical and responsible decisions.

The public actually identifies with that position and ends up accepting the imposed measures and even supporting them with conviction. ----7. Appeal more to emotions than to reflection ----8. Promote complacency in mediocrity to reinforce the feeling of success and satisfaction with the situation in which we find ourselves, even if it is a precarious or unfair situation. ----9. Reinforce self-blame. It is being used for the unvaccinated. ----10. Know people better than they know themselves. It is the basis of artificial and emotional intelligence.

Guillermou

DEBATE: WAS COVID-19 A PANDEMIC CAUSED BY A NOVEL PATHOGEN OR WAS IT CREATED SOLELY BY HARMFUL POLICIES AND FEAR PROPAGANDA?. Bu PIERRE KORY, MD, MPA In a What's App group chat, a number of data experts and scientists have been debating this question with front-line clinicians. Here I compile the debate arguments. pierrekorymedicalmusings.com/p/debate-was-covid-19-a-pandemic-caused?u.. (01/09/2024)

Posted On 02/10/2024

juststeve

Were we receiving Real Actual Scientific Method information, a constant search of give and take to search for what would be the best result at the time until a possible better result is developed or found would be pretty much fine. Lipstick on a Propaganda Pig is still just an ugly Pig with Lipstick on it. Hotez was just on Legacy Media outlets the other night feeding the Propaganda Pig and attempting to freshen up the Lipstick. Appearance over substance, a demand we look at this so we don't see that.

Posted On 02/10/2024

Guillermou

Just, red pencil with the blood of his victims. Dr. Peter Hotez is a key player in the "vaccine" industry to make money off of people's suffering. The position as head of the NIH requires a close relationship with pharmaceutical giants, a willingness to lie to the public, and a singular focus on exploiting every possibility to treat the world as a laboratory for pseudo-medical experimentation with pharmaceuticals. There are few figures who fit this criteria as well as Dr. Peter Hotez of the Sabin Institute and Baylor College of Medicine. For the Redacted news channel, in the link a deep dive into Hotez, from the beginning of his career to the present day. uncaptured.substack.com/p/watch-dancohens-shocking-investigation?utm_.. (05/02/2023)

roadmaster

We have an old, but still useful, phrase to describe politicians that also applies to today's scientific "experts." "If you can't dazzle 'em with brilliance, baffle them with bullshit." The shame is how these methods have infected ALL scientific disciplines - social, technical, physical, and life/biomedical sciences have been corrupted beyond redemption. Paraphrasing Ronald Reagan, "I don't trust anything they tell me, unless I can verify it for myself."

Posted On 02/10/2024

DebbyW

Hotez says: "Science is achieved through writing scientific papers by serious scientists." - Hotez has a skewed belief of what science is. Science is experimenting to see if what you believe is true really is. Then studying your results and adjusting your beliefs and experimenting again. Science is never settled, because we understand more and more, but it reveals new mysteries in science.

DocTwinkle

The science literature today is filled with "junk" science - many people publish drivel just to stay published for the bean counters in their research institutions and universities. How do you screen for "serious scientists"? Seems every scientific paper has to be scrutinized for its funding source, conflicts of interest as well as the content including methodology and protocols. One thing you learn quickly in science is how easy it is to do something wrong or tweak something in your method or protocol to get a particular result...

and it's not always a "correct" result. You can EASILY mislead people reading a scientific paper unless the reader knows what to watch for. You cannot even trust journal editors to publish honest research. There is bias and censorship that is not necessarily conducive to publishing true and accurate scientific findings. The publishing world itself is controlled by The Powers That Be... so it's just really hard to trust "science" at all these days. Often the best science is the science that has been banned from being published -- like all the climate data that shows that what the mainstream media is fear-mongering is WRONG, and they can show how the data are being manipulated via climate models and/or via the omission of past data on the climate...

and think of all the life-saving methods that people tried to publish in the science literature for addressing Covid, only to have their publications rejected merely because The Powers That Be didn't want any solution except the jabs in the public eye. It's a racket.

Posted On 02/10/2024

ragus

The Hippies were right. Don't trust anyone over thirty.

Muckrake

Unfortunately, all we hippies ended up over thirty eventually. Actually, we haven't lost our wisdom from experiencing those times.

Posted On 02/10/2024

ragus

It was a little tongue in cheek. But anyway, I think the point was to not trust authorities, "The Man", etc.

Posted On 02/11/2024

DocTwinkle

As a scientist, I read the science literature myself. So when the media promoted the experimental gene technology shots, I found the clinical trials and was aghast at how poorly they were conducted - to put the public at risk for harm with shoddy science was an abomination. At my university, we are trained in ethics: "If you see something (wrong), SAY something." SO I spoke up. And I got locked out of my lab. So much for ethics! Ethics and all reasonableness went out the window with Covid. To this day, I am furious with the university and have minimized my time and efforts working there. I am appalled when I hear people say "I follow the SCIENCE" and yet they have no clue -- they never checked out any of this "science" themselves nor checked for funding conflicts of interest. Our society is RIDDLED with conflicts of interest now. It's a very scary world. Just like the word "sustainability" became abused and corrupted, so has the word "science."

Eevee

The problem is "assumptions" I mean look at the beginning of the C19 jab role out, they stated the world over "it stays at the sight of the injection..." only for it to be quickly realised that it does not...how the hell could they not know this from the studies and trials..... science is based on "assumptions" undsci.berkeley.edu/understanding-science-101/how-science-works/making..

Posted On 02/10/2024

DebbyW

The moment I heard that "it stays at the injection site" I knew everything they said was a lie. That made no sense since our bodies are circulating anything in our system. I'm sure they knew the truth and were "reassuring" anyone hesitating.

Posted On 02/10/2024

Almond

Psychological methods are being used to encourage people to get vaxed and boosted. The first is shunning. By not allowing a member of the group to participate and engage with others if they do not conform to social expectations by getting jabbed. The second is positive reinforcement. When a "hesitant" person gets jabbed, the others embrace them and shower praise, welcoming them back into the group. If a person is bright and sees thru these methods, it may only make them angry to realize how they are being manipulated.

Muckrake

Got almost to the bottom then realized this must be from the "Midwestern Doctor." Always too long-winded for anyone with a life to read. Sometimes good information, but even those of us information hounds have our limits.

Posted On 02/10/2024

CMT367

Hotez and his wife are a team dedicated to their own viewpoint. Reading their book "Vaccines did not cause Rachel's autism" is enough evidence to prove that.

Posted On 02/10/2024

Woodcarver

Bull excrement baffles brains. Young people entering scientific fields are baffled by the language and the papers and soon learn to generate their own form of BS. Their only way of advancement is getting the grants which assure that real science never gets done. Fledgling scientists are deep in debt, so they follow the money. In turn, the money follows them and the corruption and the fake science continues.

Posted On 02/10/2024

pecanroll

The problem is, the way I see it, is not one or two men alone, Fauci or Hotez, rather it's the populace that is brainwashed/mind controlled or just stubborn and won't even listen to reason. Lack of critically thinking is their fault. I say, let them play their game of Russian roulette. I do not support pity parties for those that are injured anymore because, I do not care anymore.

robbie2u

How can it be science if it has a predetermined outcome. This is the fear that science has become an object of manipulation by intention. Science is in question if this occurs as it has been used to create a particular result that has nothing to do with science discovery. So science cannot be trusted and must be subject to evaluation using other skills. It is these other skills that they fear like truthfulness and the desire for transparency, common sense, intuition, integrity, wisdom and our senses which we use for survival. A debate would cause us to switch on our other skills.

Posted On 02/11/2024

GoldCoaster

The last main stream media report I saw against a vaccine was months after the Gardasil roll out. It was on 60 Minutes Aust. and I remember a mother standing next to her daughter's grave.

Posted On 02/11/2024

RhodesianRidgeback

The control of minds and information is more powerful and more effective than any physical weapon in existence.

Posted On 02/10/2024

Segstar

Does anyone have any doubts that "Scientism" is just another propaganda arm of the Satanists agenda...

den7788

What is the Satanists' agenda? Please share.

Posted On 02/10/2024

Segstar

Den their agenda is simply to destroy everything that God created and remake in the image and likeness of the Beast...Does that make sense...

Posted On 02/10/2024

GoldCoaster

I think the New World Order is in the satanists category.