

What You Need to Know About the Latest COVID Jab Rollout

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

September 19, 2023

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › The U.S. government is rolling out a new COVID shot this fall that is recommended for all people, including those who have not taken the initial series
- › The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has “approved” the reformulated shots by Pfizer and Moderna for individuals 12 years of age and older, and “authorized” them under emergency use for children between the ages of 6 months and 11 years
- › The new shots are formulated to include a single mRNA corresponding to the Omicron variant XBB.1.5., the dominant variant in the U.S. for most of 2023, but which has since been replaced by other variants
- › The FDA anticipates the COVID jab will be updated once a year going forward, just like the seasonal flu vaccine
- › Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis has denounced mask and vaccine mandates, saying any renewal of such federal mandates will not be enforced in Florida, now or in the future

As you’ve likely heard by now, the U.S. government is rolling out a new COVID shot¹ this fall that is recommended for all people, including those who have not taken the initial series.

According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration,² the reformulated shots by Pfizer and Moderna are “approved” for individuals 12 years of age and older, and “authorized under emergency use” for children between the ages of 6 months and 11 years.

This despite the fact that no emergency declaration exists to warrant it. The federal COVID-19 public health emergency declaration ended May 11, 2023.³ The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's advisory panel has not yet announced its official recommendations,⁴ but per the FDA:

- Individuals 5 years of age and older, regardless of previous COVID jab status, will be eligible to receive a single dose of the reformulated shot. Those who have received previous shots should wait at least two months since the last dose.
- Children between the ages of 6 months and 4 years who have previously received one or more COVID shots will be eligible to receive one or two doses of the updated jab, depending on the last injection received.
- Unjabbed children between the ages of 6 months and 4 years will be eligible to receive three doses of the updated Pfizer shot or two doses of the updated Moderna jab.

FDA Continues the Propaganda Spin

The new shots are formulated to include a single mRNA corresponding to the Omicron variant XBB.1.5., the dominant variant in the U.S. for most of 2023, but which has since been replaced by other variants.

As explained by the FDA, they anticipate the COVID jab will be updated once a year going forward, just like the seasonal flu vaccine, which, by the way, is notoriously **ineffective due to mismatched strains**. Will the reformulated shot be any safer or more effective than the previous ones? I doubt it. As noted by the FDA:⁵

"The updated mRNA vaccines are manufactured using a similar process as previous formulations ...

[The] extent of neutralization observed by the updated vaccines against currently circulating viral variants causing COVID-19, including EG.5 and BA.2.86, appears to be of a similar magnitude to the extent of neutralization

observed with prior versions of the vaccines against corresponding prior variants against which they had been developed to provide protection.

This suggests that the vaccines are a good match for protecting against the currently circulating COVID-19 variants. The benefit-risk profile of previously authorized and approved mRNA COVID-19 vaccines is well understood as these vaccines have been administered to hundreds of millions of people in the United States."

Those familiar with the evidence will of course realize that, a) previous shots have been woefully ineffective, offering minimal protection at best, and only for a short time, and b) the benefit-to-risk profile is "well-understood" to be markedly skewed toward "high risk" and "minimal benefit" for most people.

Adults 60 and over are also encouraged to get the flu shot and/or a vaccine against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) concomitant with the COVID jab to prevent a "tripledemic." Pregnant women are also encouraged to get all of these shots, which really ought to be considered a crime at this point.

As for cost, the updated COVID shots will have a price tag between \$110 to \$130 per dose. The flu shot will cost anywhere from \$20 to \$70, depending on the vaccine you get, and the RSV vaccine is predicted to run anywhere between \$180 and \$295.⁶ All three shots are covered by private insurance, Medicaid and Medicare, but for the uninsured, getting all three could get costly.

Where Have We Heard This Before?

As expected, Pfizer and Moderna are also spinning the same old weave, claiming the reformulated shots are "effective" against several Omicron strains, including XBB.1.5, BA.2.86 (nicknamed Pirola), EG.5 (Eris) and FL.1.5.1 (Fornax).

Interestingly, they're not bragging about percentages this time. Instead, we're simply being told the shots are "significantly effective" or just "effective," whatever that means.

Remember when Pfizer and Moderna claimed their shots were 95% effective and had no serious safety concerns?⁷ Fast-forward to February 2023 and data from the Office of National Statistics in the U.K. revealed the shots have increased all-cause mortality for all age groups while doing nothing to reduce deaths from COVID.^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14}

Pfizer documents released by the FDA in response to a lawsuit have also revealed that in the first three months of the rollout (December 2020 through the end of February 2021), Pfizer received 42,086 adverse event reports, including 1,223 deaths.^{15,16} The 1976 swine flu vaccine was pulled after only 25 deaths.

We've also learned that Pfizer documented^{17,18} no fewer than **158,000 different "side effects of special interest"** in its trials, all while claiming there were no safety concerns.

The first side effect on this shockingly exhaustive list is a rare condition known as 1p36 deletion syndrome. This condition, caused by the deletion of DNA in chromosome 1p36, results in developmental delays, severe intellectual disability, seizures, vision problems, hearing loss, breathing problems, brain anomalies, congenital heart defects, cardiomyopathy, renal anomalies, genital malformation, metabolic problems and more.^{19,20}

Life expectancy depends on the amount of DNA that has been deleted. This, at bare minimum, sounds like something a pregnant woman might want to know before she gets the shot.

Pfizer also claimed its shot was 100% effective in children between 12 and 15,²¹ but according to a June 2022 paper,²² the vaccine effectiveness against infection in children aged 12 to 17 ended up being only 64%.

Not only did breakthrough infections occur in "fully immunized" adolescents,²³ we also started seeing a rise in multisystem inflammatory syndrome (MIS²⁴) and **myocarditis**, both of which can be life threatening, whereas COVID poses a negligible risk to children, adolescents and young adults.

Mouse Experiments Tell Us Nothing

The bivalent boosters^{25,26} were tested only on mice before they were rolled out, which tells you nothing about their safety, and effectiveness is based on antibody titers alone, which doesn't tell you anything about effectiveness in the real world.

Moderna now claims "clinical trial data" prove their new shot is effective²⁷ against several of the strains in the Omicron lineage, but they haven't released any details. Was it done on mice or men? Pfizer, meanwhile, admits its claims are based on mouse trials.²⁸ Considering how far off the mark they were when they were actually doing human trials, how far off do you think they'll be when all they're basing their claims on are mice experiments?

FDA Refuses to Provide Safety Data

The fact that the FDA is refusing to provide safety data for the shots is another red flag. In January 2023, Sen. Ron Johnson, R-Wis., asked the FDA to release the results of its Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) data analyses.²⁹

As previously reported by The Epoch Times, the first time the CDC ran a proportional reporting ratio (PRR) analysis, **hundreds of signals were triggered**, and more than 500 of them have a stronger safety signal than myocarditis.

The FDA has conducted a different kind of analysis, called Empirical Bayesian (EB) data mining. According to former CDC director Dr. Rochelle Walensky, the CDC's PRR results "were generally consistent with EB data mining, revealing no additional unexpected safety signals."

When Johnson wanted the CDC to clarify what Walensky meant by no "unexpected" safety signals, they pointed him to the FDA, which in turn told Johnson they can't give him any data because the "FDA's EB data mining analyses of adverse events contained in VAERS reports for COVID-19 vaccines are currently the subject of pending FOIA [Freedom of Information Act] litigation," and that "FDA is unable to ... provide information or data that is currently being considered in pending litigation."

The litigation the FDA refers to is a lawsuit filed by Children's Health Defense. The CHD had filed a FOIA request for the EB data, and when the agency refused, CHD sued to have them released. In a September 5, 2023, response to FDA Commissioner Dr. Robert Califf, Johnson wrote:³⁰

"As you are well aware, Congress has a right to information contained at U.S. federal agencies as it conducts its constitutional oversight responsibilities. It is outrageous that FDA would assert that pending litigation, and particularly FOIA litigation, would allow your agency to obstruct my congressional oversight.

Any pending litigation FDA may have relating to its EB data mining records has no bearing on its responsibility to comply with a congressional request.

The notion that FDA is actively hiding information about vaccine safety signals from Congress and the American people is beyond despicable, particularly given the fact that COVID- 19 vaccine adverse events can and do occur.

According to VAERS, as of September 1, 2023, there have been 1,589,970 adverse events and 36,080 deaths associated with the COVID-19 vaccines."

Johnson gave the FDA a deadline of September 19, 2023, to hand over the EB data mining results.

Florida Surgeon General Warns Against New COVID Shot

In a September 7, 2023, "Mandate Freedom" press conference in Jacksonville, Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis denounced mask and vaccine mandates, saying any such federal mandates will not be enforced in Florida, now or in the future.³¹

"They are trying to do this again, and here in the state of Florida, we will say 'No,'" he said.

DeSantis called it "troubling" to see states starting to push for mask and jab mandates again when the evidence is now in. We know masks don't work. We know the efficacy of

the COVID jabs becomes negative over time and that there are serious side effects.

“ They’re not trying to follow the science. They’re trying to follow a narrative. They’re trying to follow an agenda. ~ Gov. Ron DeSantis ”

Florida Surgeon General Dr. Joseph Ladapo also warned against the new COVID shots, saying there are "no clinical trials in human beings" showing that they're safe and effective. "They actually cause cardiac injury in many people," he said, adding that several studies have shown the shots increase your risk of contracting COVID. "There are a lot of red flags," he said.

Indeed, there are. We now also have a clear view of how COVID was (and still is) used to usher in the idea that we need more centralization of power and social control, and that we must relinquish freedom, human rights, privacy and bodily autonomy "for the greater good."

That's what all the hysterical fearmongering over colds and flus is all about, so I'm grateful that Florida will not go along with this moving forward. Hopefully, leaders in other states and countries around the world will do the same, and call on their people to just say no, because that's what it's going to take to stop the [global takeover](#).

Sources and References

- ¹ [Scientific American September 1, 2023](#)
- ^{2, 5} [FDA September 11, 2023](#)
- ³ [CDC End of PHE, Updated September 12, 2023](#)
- ⁴ [Reuters September 12, 2023](#)
- ⁶ [Oregon Capital Chronicle September 10, 2023](#)
- ⁷ [PBS November 18, 2020](#)
- ⁸ [Steve Kirsch Substack February 23, 2023](#)
- ⁹ [US Mortality Substack February 22, 2023](#)
- ¹⁰ [Steve Kirsch Substack February 25, 2023](#)
- ¹¹ [Joel Smalley Substack February 21, 2023](#)
- ¹² [Igor Chudov Substack February 21, 2023](#)

- ¹³ El Gato Malo Substack February 23, 2023
- ¹⁴ The Exposé February 22, 2023
- ¹⁵ YouTube John Campbell, The Pfizer Documents
- ¹⁶ PHMPT.org Pfizer Documents
- ¹⁷ Peckford42 Wordpress March 3, 2022
- ¹⁸ Pfizer Side Effects List
- ¹⁹ Applied Clinical Genetics 2015; 8: 189-200
- ²⁰ Medicinenet.com 1p36 Deletion Syndrome
- ²¹ MSNBC March 31, 2021
- ²² eClinical Medicine June 2022; 48: 101419
- ²³ Dept of Health, Pediatric (5-17) Vaccine Breakthrough Data Last Update June 22, 2023
- ²⁴ Emerging Infectious Diseases July 2022; 28(7): 1456-1459
- ²⁵ NBC News August 30, 2022
- ²⁶ Health.com September 7, 2022
- ²⁷ Moderna August 17, 2023
- ²⁸ ARS Technica August 18, 2023
- ²⁹ Epoch Times September 7, 2023
- ³⁰ Sen. Johnson Letter to FDA on EB Data Mining
- ³¹ NY Post September 10, 2023