Analyzing the Legalities of the COVID-19 Vaccine Among the 5-to-11-Year Old Demographic: A Special Interview With Alix Mayer By Dr. Joseph Mercola

Dr. Mercola:

Welcome, everyone. This is Dr. Mercola, helping you take control of your health. And today, we're going to talk about – what else – the COVID vax. So to help us understand some of the mechanics of that, we are joined by Alix Mayer, who is a vaccine injury person, but not the COVID vax. This is something – or another. This is a previous injury she had 20 years ago. We're discuss that in a moment. But she is the president of the California chapter of the Children's Health-

Alix Mayer:

Board president.

Dr Mercola:

Board president, sorry. Board president of the Children's Health Defense in California, and has an extensive history of – and she's well-trained, I'll let her discuss some of her formal education. But she's got an MBA, I believe, from Chicago. She's from Chicago, like me, too. Interestingly, now she's out in California. And so why don't you go into your history of your family heritage, because some people may not realize that you really are. There's a there's an important ancestor you have who was a Mayer. So why don't you state that, then we'll go into some of your details of your vaccine injury.

Alix Mayer:

Okay, sounds great. Thanks for having me on your show, Dr. Mercola. I really appreciate it. So I'm Alix Mayer, and you want me to talk a little bit about my family. I am from the hot dogs, Bologna and bacon family. Oscar Mayer was really a real person. He was my great, great grandfather, who came to the United States in the late 1800s and got a job as a butcher boy in Michigan and started his own meat store in Chicago on Sedgwick Street. And then the rest is history. Everybody knows the products and the family sold the company to General Foods in 1981.

Dr. Mercola:

Great, so. And then why don't you tell us a little bit about your educational history and then dovetail that right into the vaccine event that occurred.

Alix Mayer:

Sure. So I graduated from Duke University with a BA and then I graduated from Northwestern University with an MBA in finance and management strategy. I actually have a master's degree in management strategy. Then Apple moved me out to California where I've been for the last 25plus years. And I was working at Apple and eventually, in about my fourth or fifth year there, I'd been promoted to the acting manager of worldwide customer research when I was about 29. And during that time, I went on a vacation with my family to Bali. And I was told by my doctor, I had to go to travel medicine to see what they needed me to do to you know, protect me from all the scary illnesses that were supposedly in Bali. And so I rolled up my sleeve, I got six vaccines, they were hepatitis A vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine, diphtheria, tetanus and oral typhoid. And because it took me 13 years to figure out what had happened to me-

Dr. Mercola:

Tell us what happened, tell us what happened.

Alix Mayer:

I will. Well, I like to say I got a seventh shot called cognitive dissonance because it took so long to figure it out. I must have had something affecting my brain other than the vaccines, but the vaccines did affect my brain. They gave me brain damage and total disability. I spent three years in my early 30s 80% housebound, and I really I didn't know if I was ever going to get better. Nobody had a diagnosis for me. And then I went through a whole bunch of diagnoses, I went through a lupus diagnosis, chronic fatigue syndrome, Lyme disease and then ultimately, none of those made sense and none of the treatments made me any better, until we put the pieces together and figured out that I was actually vaccine-injured. It's just it's literally just a cause and effect. Like if you look back at my history, and you lay out my vaccine schedule, you can see that my health declined two weeks after I got the vaccine. So I had encephalitis and encephalopathy and many, many other symptoms: digestive issues, hypersomnia — that means sleeping 16 hours a day — flu-like symptoms, a 24/7 migraine, joint pain and digestive issues. And I really had no life at all in my early 30s until I went on the gluten-free diet. And that started the very beginning of my health recovery. And then I became an award-winning medical journalist with a bunch of different blogs and then a health consultant. And then in 2018, I retired from all that and joined Children's Health Defense.

Dr. Mercola:

Well, great, but how did you find out that it was the vaccines? I mean, what was your journey to understand that and just finding the right positions? I'm just curious as to how you made the connection?

I had some suspicions early on, probably in the early 2000s because that's when a friend of mine named Marine-

Dr. Mercola:

So, really that long before we figured it out?,

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, yeah. And her son got autism after his vaccines when he was about one and a half and she's really bright. She went to Duke with me, and she's an attorney and she sent me these books and she said, "You have to read these, you're pregnant, you have to read these." And so I did. And I thought, wow, you know, I got to be careful with vaccines and my own kids, but I didn't put it together for me that I was, you know, actually vaccine-injured. And then it was interesting because a lot of the treatments that were helping kids with autism, a lot of it directed by Marine, were actually helping me recover as well as such as a gluten-free diet, as I already mentioned. And so that was kind of a clue that maybe I'd had the same instigating factor as kids with autism. And then finally, once I got the Lyme diagnosis, I was intermingled with a lot of doctors who knew a lot about vaccine injury. And then they helped me finally put those pieces together.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay, great. So, interesting, Bobby also has a vaccine injury with his vocal dysphonia, after I believe was after flu shots, he told me, so it's just amazing. And the reason I wanted to have you on and discuss this is you've been challenged with this, as many other people have. I've been an advocate of vaccine – well, vaccine safety for sure, vaccine choice, not necessarily anti-vaxxers are we're almost always labeled, but giving people the informed consent that they need for over two decades, probably two and – probably almost coming up on three decades, actually. And the current circumstances with this pandemic have made it really clear that we have to take a second look. And there's many individuals and prominent physicians who have taken up the banner now such as Dr. McCullough and Dr. Malone, Steve Kirsch, and we've got Dr. Kory, who are relatively newcomers in this space, but they at least they an objective discernment to understand that this new COVID jab is something completely different. But this was a problem before. And obviously, this jab is much more dangerous, it's the "most dangerous vaccine," in the history of humanity. Literally, what is the current statistics? It's more than 10 times the amount of injuries from this vaccine in one year, it's not even been out for one year as we're just talking.

Alix Mayer:

At least.

Dr. Mercola:

It has 10 times more injuries in all other vaccines combined, according to the VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) database, which started reporting 1990. So-

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, on average, since that database was enacted, there were 200 to 400 reports of death after vaccines for all other vaccines before 2020. Then when COVID shots hit the market, just in 2021, we have almost 20,000 deaths reported after COVID shots, and then almost a million injuries.

Dr. Mercola:

That's 100 times. And that's assuming that is the actual number that is being reported. But we know of course, many, many cases are not being reported. Or even the ones that are, somehow disappear from the database.

Alix Mayer:

Right.

Alix Mayer:

Then they can get replaced with mild injuries, or not replaced at all. But the record numbers are still there for some reason.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, it's pretty crazy. The point I wanted to make with this is that, really, this is bringing awareness to vaccines in general. And I think, of course, most of the focus and attention is on the biggest problem because when you have a triage and emergencies is the one to look at. But it's all the childhood vaccines are culprits, and you have to evaluate the risk-to-reward ratio in each and every one of them. With the COVID jab, there is just absolutely no choice at all. There's just never, almost never, ever, ever any reason to get this, especially for younger - I mean, it doesn't take long to figure this out objectively even though the media is heavily censoring most of the materials that will allow you to truly make an informed consent. It's still out there, it's just on platforms that aren't censored. So, I just wanted to make that point. And the other component though, is that I wanted you to review – you put together a really nice slide presentation of the deception and the fraud that is being used to justify this because you can make a very compelling argument that the reason that they essentially vilified every other alternative to the vaccine was to maintain this emergency use authorization (EUA) label or designation, is the best term, for the vaccine because without it, they wouldn't have any liability. That's the whole thing because they're getting this off scot-free. And all the childhood vaccines are scot-free because the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) authorizes them, but I don't understand exactly why they are unable to authorize this one. Because it seems that they're so full of fraud. I mean, when they authorized the use of the COVID jab for the 5- to 11-year olds, unanimously, against

an advice of their own advisory committee. You know, this is such an obvious fraud, because all these people on the panel were essentially conflicted and paid consultants for the vaccine manufacturers. I don't understand why they couldn't just get the CDC to prove it. Before we delve into the how they're manipulating this with fraud, do you have any idea how they're why they just don't make it legal? Like they like they pass the 5- to 11-year olds?

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, I think for a couple reasons. One is the clinical trials are not over. They're not due to be over until the end of 2022 and early 2023. And then the second reason is, I don't think they want the scrutiny on the science right now because it's really not looking very good. I mean, every week that goes by the science stacks up more and more on the side of this being more harmful than it is beneficial. So I think those are the two reasons I would say why they haven't fully approved this. And I want to make sure everybody understands the difference between authorized and approved. Because those words sound like synonyms. But if you're the FDA (Food and Drug Administration), they're not. Authorized is short for emergency use authorization, and approved means full approval or fully licensed. So we have-

Dr. Mercola:

And then the second part of that is the CDC recommending it as part of the schedule-

Alix Mayer:

That's the word. The CDC recommends-

Dr. Mercola:

Another level of protection.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly. That's it. Yeah.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah. So, it's a combination of steps. So why don't you walk us through that? Because once you understand, it becomes really clear what the heck is happening.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly. Okay, I'd be happy to do that. I'm going to share my slides now. So yeah, I put together this slide deck all about emergency use authorization because there is so much confusion over this and what's really going on. And once you really understand the genesis of emergency use authorization and the standards they have to meet in order to keep these products on the market, then you understand the behaviors that they're doing. Like they're really falling all over

themselves to protect the emergency use authorizations for these products, and also introduce other very confusing approvals to get away with stuff. So let me just help to start to clarify it right now. So let me do a slideshow.

Alix Mayer:

Okay, so first of all, this presentation is all about these three strangleholds, that the vaccine makers and our government are never going to let go of, and we can try can put a lot of pressure on them. But these are the things they're guarding with their lives. And first of all, they need to guard the emergency. And again, I'm going to go into detail on all this later, okay? And we'll come back to this, these concepts at the end, they cannot have any early treatments, those cannot exist. And they're going for full liability protection. And I like to call this "power children will be used as pawns" to get them full liability protection. So I'm going to go into detail on these things. And all these three things that they're holding on to become very clear why after we go through these slides.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay.

Alix Mayer:

So first of all, vaccine makers love emergency use authorization products because they have this huge liability shield. So if you're injured by an EUA vaccine, you can't sue the manufacturer, you can't sue the person who gave it to you, you can't sue the institution where you got the shot. You can't. And you have to go through something called the CICP, which is the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program where they'll only cover unpaid medical expenses and probably only for pharmaceuticals, and lost wages only. In my case, if you're vaccine-injured, let me tell you right now, you are not going to be using pharmaceuticals because they do not work for vaccine injury. They will make you sicker and you'll be on two dozen pharmaceuticals before you know it and you're going to be sick from those. They do not work. The only thing that's going to get you better if you're vaccine-injured is natural treatments, all the "witch doctor" stuff that everybody disparages, the kind of stuff that Dr. Mercola knows all about in a very professional way backed by science. That's the kind of treatment you're going to need. And that's not even covered, even if you were to get compensation. And everybody I know with chronic illness, whether it's a child or an adult who has chronic fatigue syndrome, vaccine injury, Lyme disease, they're paying \$50,000 out of pocket per year. And so if you can't work and you have to pay for your treatment like that out of pocket, I don't know how you ever get by. I mean people suffer like crazy, they lose homes and they go into bankruptcy. Anyway-

Dr. Mercola:

So let's go into a little more history of the injury compensation programs because the first one was in 1986.

Correct.

Dr. Mercola:

It's the NICP – no, no – VICP.

Alix Mayer:

VICP, yeah.

Dr. Mercola:

Vaccine Injury Compensation Program and that initially started – actually a friend of mine, Barbara Loe Fisher was part of implementing that through her group. NVIC (National Vaccine Information Center) was the one that kind of lobbied for that to happen, but they butchered it and whittled it down. And the VAERS database was also a consequence of that law, which happens to be one of the best databases in the world, even though it's not implemented very well. But anyway, that was in 1986, I believe, excuse me, this countermeasures program. And actually, the word countermeasures that probably refers to the fact that was implemented as a result of 9/11, the biowarfare protection measures.

Alix Mayer:

You got it.

Dr. Mercola:

And I think was like 2004 or 2005, somewhere in there. I don't believe – maybe there was an anthrax vaccine that was under this program, but that may have been the only one. There's no other vaccines that have been approved or not approved – have this authorization.

Alix Mayer:

EUA, right. And I do go into the anthrax vaccine in a few slides.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay, good. Good.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah.

Okay. So those two you're talking about. Maybe compare the VICP, which is from 1986 to this one. This is much, much worse. They whittled down the VICP to virtually nothing, but they got really clever where they implemented this one.

Alix Mayer:

They really did. Yeah, the VICP, they deny, I believe it's two-thirds of claims. And it's really a brutal process. They make you really jump through a whole bunch of hoops. The government will pay for your lawyer, but you have to pay for your expert witnesses, which can run you know, tens of thousands of dollars apiece, and they make it very difficult for you. They can drag these cases out over years. And then if you do end up getting compensation for a death or what have you, they don't pay it out in one lump sum, they pay it out year by year, and they pretty much hope that whoever is injured is actually going to die of their injuries before they get compensated. That's been said to me a bunch of times by people who've been through the horrible process. Now that CICP, they only have compensated 3% of claims. And so far, there have been no approvals for claims for COVID shot injuries.

Dr. Mercola:

Even though, even though we have at a minimum, was it 20,000 deaths now in VAERS?

Alix Mayer:

20,000 reported-

Dr. Mercola:

Reported, at minimum.

Alix Mayer:

-the underreporting factor is huge. We don't know what the underreporting factor is. It could be by a hundred, underreported, a factor of a hundred. By a factor of seven and a half. Or it could be by a factor of 41, according to research done by Steve Kirsch and his team, and [crosstalk 00:17:51]

Dr. Mercola:

Jessica Rose puts it at 31. Some of the whistleblowers at the CDC put at five. So it's a minimum of 5, somewhere between 5 and 40. I doubt that it's 100 times, but it's significant.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, so if it's 5, we've killed 100,000 people from COVID shots.

Yeah, 100,000. And not one, not one person has been compensated, or family has been compensated. And the CDC, to this day, still states that no one, there have been zero deaths from this jab, zero.

Alix Mayer:

They can't maintain that. That is completely [crosstalk 0018:22]

Dr. Mercola:

It's the most absurd response that you could possibly imagine. You can't make this stuff up.

Alix Mayer:

No, you can't. And Dr. Mercola, children are dying now of heart attacks. I just heard over the weekend of a fourth grader who died of a heart attack in the San Francisco Bay Area. And I heard of a 14-year-old who died while sledding in Minnesota. This doesn't happen.

Dr. Mercola:

It's going to happen a lot more, a lot more. I mean, that's why I was in tears when they authorized this for the 5- to 11-year olds.

Alix Mayer:

It's awful.

Dr. Mercola:

It was needlessly killing helpless and innocent children. And that's one of the worst crimes of humanity.

Alix Mayer:

I couldn't agree more. Yeah. All right. Well, let's keep going. So I made this slide to explain the three phases that the vaccine manufacturers see that they're going to be going through. Right now, the products, as you know, are under emergency use authorization. And so I'm going to evaluate each of these stages for their liability and whether or not things can be mandated. And under EUA, the manufacturers, as we said, have no liability. It's also illegal to mandate an EUA product because it's considered investigational, we're going to go into that in a minute. The next phase of this would be full licensure or full approval. And then they do have liability and mandates are legal but they don't like this scenario either, because they don't want to have liability because they know how serious these things are. They'd be sued out of business like-

It would go out of business. I mean, unless they get some sweet deal like the Sacklers did with the OxyContin.

Alix Mayer:

Right. They're all looking for the sweet deal. So I'm going to get into the sweet deal they tried to pull over on us in a minute. But then like there this third level where these vaccines can be fully licensed and approved. And once they're put on the children's schedule do to them that the 1986 Act that Dr. Mercola just mentioned, they have no liability, and they can mandate them. A mandated product with no liability. So that's what they're going for. This is the Holy Grail if you're a vaccine manufacturer of a COVID vaccine right now. You want to be fully licensed, but not put it on the market until you get it on the children's schedule.

Dr. Mercola:

And the approval in the children's schedule is done by the CDC.

Alix Mayer:

Yes, well, the FDA will give it an approval and then the CDC recommends it for different age groups and different demographics.

Dr. Mercola:

Right. The CDC can't approve until the FDA does. The FDA can approve [inaudible 00:20:44] make the recommendations. It's not going to happen. But they're lockstep.

Alix Mayer:

Oh they are, they are.

Dr. Mercola:

They're rubber stamp agencies now.

Alix Mayer:

Right. And the FDA-

Dr. Mercola:

And clearly bought and sold for. Bought and paid for by the by the drug companies.

Yeah. They're both captured agencies and the FDA. We think 45% of its budget is paid for by pharmaceutical companies, so they can't bite the hand that feeds them. So, as I mentioned, before, EUA vaccines are investigational, and that's a synonym for experimental. The word experimental ties it directly into the Nuremberg Code, which says that we cannot be experimented on, we always have the right to accept or refuse a medical treatment. And that was enacted after World War II. Now, that's not a law. But it's a code under which the whole world is supposed to be operating by. And it is actually codified into some local and federal laws as well.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, it's not enforced but I believe the U.S. and most of the countries of the world ratified this treaty in the '40s.

Alix Mayer:

Yep.

Dr. Mercola:

But unfortunately, there's no enforcement provisions.

Alix Mayer:

Right, because it's not a law. Parts of it are codified into laws, thank goodness. But the Nuremberg Code itself is not a law and EUA vaccines, they cannot be mandated, which we already said you always have the right to refuse without suffering consequences. Now, I want to dive a little deeper into this word, "consequences," because I feel like this is the EUA word of the year, if not like the outright word of the year. So there's a case regarding the anthrax vaccine in the military. It's called Doe versus Rumsfeld and Dr. Mercola mentioned this earlier, the anthrax vaccine, it was EUA and also considered an investigational new drug. Because the anthrax vaccine was developed for skin contact anthrax, not inhalation anthrax, against which it was ineffective anyway. So they're mandating that the military got this vaccine. And there is a military personnel named Major Bates who brought this case. And he said about 5% of his troops had Gulf War-type illness after the anthrax vaccine, and it was considered injurious.

Alix Mayer:

So most of the troops did not want to get it. And so military personnel actually won this case. And it was ruled that the EUA vaccine for anthrax could not be mandated on the military, they had the choice. Now, later, they actually got it fully approved. And it's, I believe, it's never been mandated again in the military, from my research last night. That's my belief right now, I could be wrong on that. But they later did get it fully approved. Anyway. So, in this case, this is the really important thing. This is why I'm talking about this right now. The word "consequences" was adjudicated to mean medical consequences only. That is a natural consequence of not wanting to get a vaccine, you could get the illness for which you didn't want to get the vaccine. That's a medical consequence, it's a natural consequences did not mean punitive consequences. It didn't mean job loss. It didn't mean dishonorable discharge from the military. It didn't mean that you had to work from home or learn offsite. It was just medical consequences only. So then-

Dr. Mercola:

Even worse, I think Biden – I don't know what happened to it. But I recall a few weeks ago where he said that any of the special forces like Green Beret and the Delta Force that chose not to get jabbed, were not only discharged, they will be forced to pay for all their training, which is well in excess of 1,000,000 each.

Alix Mayer:

I did not know that.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, I don't know what happened to that. They just decided to go forward with it or not, because a lot of this crazy-

Alix Mayer:

It is.

Dr. Mercola:

-dictates have been overturned.

Alix Mayer:

Nothing shocks me anymore. So, what everybody needs to know is that coercion and duress are considered de facto mandates and in legal terms, de facto means that it's basically the same as an outright mandate. It's illegal medical segregation, medical apartheid, that's illegal. So if you go to a restaurant and they demand your vaccine passport, and they only let you eat outside, and they might not let you use the bathroom, that's medical segregation. That is illegal and I do not support businesses that do that and you shouldn't either.

Any access privileges that are different between the vaccinated and unvaccinated, those are also illegal. And any visual indication of vaccine status like a sticker or a bracelet or, you know, God forbid a star or something like that. That's also illegal because that creates segregation and medical apartheid. The main point I want to make here also, the second point is that mass violation of the law does not make something legal. If we all drove 100 miles an hour on Interstate 80, would we watch the speed limit signs suddenly changed to 100 miles per hour? No, it's not going to happen. Mass violation of the law has never made anything legal. And just because schools and businesses and our government are mandating these shots. It doesn't make it legal. It's all illegal.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, let me just make a point here, though. We need to go back to the slide. Oh, no, I'm sorry. Right there. The fact that they're illegal doesn't mean that they can't do it. People will still be fired from their job even though it is illegal. You could have a legal course of action once this progresses and win, pretty clearly. But right now they're getting away with it, especially because the administration supports this illegal action.

Alix Mayer:

That's right. That's right. And that's what they're hoping, like you really – this is not my crime, as [inaudible 00:26:23] likes to say, but you have to think like a criminal. They know full well it's illegal to mandate these things. President Biden knows full well it's illegal. But what they're counting on is that the court cases overturning their illegal mandates will take a while and in that interim, people are going to be scared enough to get the shots that they're just going to do it. And unfortunately, it's worked.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah. I think there's been three decisions already and every one of them has overturned these mandates, which are forcing employers with more than 100 employees to mandate it. And just a few days ago, I heard Google was going to fire their employees if they weren't mandated, because making the assumption that it wasn't unconstitutional, so they're just using that as an excuse.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly. Yeah. And they're opening themselves up to huge liability. I mean, what if all the employees get together and sue their employer? They all could be sued out of business. So this word, "consequences," the story goes a little bit deeper, because the Department of Justice (DOJ), they attempted to redefine the word consequences just for COVID. And so they put out what they call a slip opinion on July 6, 2021. And I call it a very tortured opinion piece because it redefined the word "consequences" to mean punitive consequences, like job loss or separated working or learning location.

But this word, this type of consequence, a punitive consequence, that's never been adjudicated. That's not in any law. This is just an opinion from the DOJ. And it absolutely means nothing except it came from our DOJ, so people give it a lot of authority. And they also stated twice, and this is so hard to understand, because it's just beyond reason. They also stated twice, that the right to accept or refuse an EUA product is purely informational. And I just say that like three times for people to understand it. Literally, you can read that your right to accept or refuse the product is there and you could die by taking it but it's purely informational. You cannot act on it. That's what the DOJ says. Again, it's not adjudicated, it doesn't mean anything. It's an opinion. But they did this just for COVID. So you're starting to see the corruption.

This didn't go through a legal process-

Alix Mayer:

Nope.

Dr. Mercola:

-but it appears that it is legal, because obviously it's the United States Department of Justice-

Alix Mayer:

Correct.

Dr. Mercola:

-so it should hold some legal weight, but it's just their opinion.

Alix Mayer:

Correct. It holds no legal weight at all. So as we said before, these mandates are starting to be overturned. So here's an article on Children's Health Defense where we talk about how OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) suspends Biden's employer vaccine mandate following a court order in the Fifth Circuit Court. Then-

Dr. Mercola:

That's how he was planning to implement these was because he does not have the authority to do this. He has to do it through state agencies because the Feds can't do this. So indirectly, he was doing it through OSHA, which is a state agency. And he they were supposed to administer this mandate.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly, exactly. And then the Senate actually voted to repeal the Biden vaccine mandates from employers by 52 to 48. And two Democrats actually joined the Republicans to overturn this [crosstalk 00:29:32]

Dr. Mercola:

That was surprising and encouraging.

There we go. One more. Oh, and then the appeals court also refused to put Biden's vaccine mandate for health care workers back into effect. The order only applies now to Alaska, Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming. It's very confusing and there's so many other decisions around these things. I'm just highlighting-

Dr. Mercola:

It's particularly surprising because, it was my understanding from Francis Boyle, who's am Emeritus Professor of Law at the University of Illinois and been around a long time, he actually wrote the COVID, not the COVID, the bioweapon treaty in the '80s. But it was his impression that most of the federal judges were essentially captured. And that this is their way through the system, is that they've been doing this for – it took them decades to do this. And I was really shocked when these things went through. I just, I don't know how they found judges to actually hold up to the truth and really make a true and honest decision. I thought they were all captured. But I'm glad to see they weren't.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah. Me too. Well, we found out just how captured they are in California, Dr. Mercola. At the California Chapter, Children's Health Defense, we filed a suit against Governor Newsom for speaking out of both sides of his mouth. On the one hand, he's saying it's an emergency, we have to lock down and "Oh, it's Christmas, you can't be with your family, you have to stay in your own house." And on the other side of his mouth, he's saying to all these businesses who are suing him for losses of business, business closure, loss of income, he's saying, "Oh, you know, those cases are all moot. It's not an emergency anymore." Both those things were in writing. So we filed a case with the Supreme Court of California to adjudicate that and say, you know, "Which is it Gavin? Is it an emergency or is it not an emergency?" And things are so corrupt that Gavin's team had 10 days to respond to this complaint. Day seven, before Gavin's team has done anything, the Supreme Court of California gets back to, us dismisses the case without even looking at it.

Dr. Mercola:

No surprise.

Alix Mayer:

You can't make this stuff up.

Dr. Mercola:

Right.

Okay, so let's dive into why are they doing all this? So there are four standards that are needed to get an EUA product approved. The first one is that the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) has to declare and maintain a state of emergency. If the emergency were to go away, all EUA products would have to come off the market. And that doesn't just mean vaccines, all the testing is EUA, the PCR tests are EUA. And the surgical masks are EUA as well. Everything would have to come off if there's no so emergency.

Dr. Mercola:

So this is a federal declaration. I believe the state or municipalities can also declare their own independent emergencies.

Alix Mayer:

I believe that's true. Yeah. Because Florida-

Dr. Mercola:

So you can have – Yeah.

Alix Mayer:

Florida has backed off at the emergency. Right? What's the status in Florida?

Dr. Mercola:

Well, I believe DeSantis has made it illegal any attempt to enforce these mandates or masks at the state level, so I think that they're superseding this, but I'm just a little bit confused, because all of this doesn't really make any sense. And they're just abusing the reason that these efforts, initiatives were initially developed. And they're using it for nefarious purposes.

Alix Mayer:

That's right.

Dr. Mercola:

So anyway, this is a federal declaration of an EUA.

Alix Mayer:

Correct. Yeah, this is straight from the FDA. So this is federal. So the next one is the evidence of effectiveness-

Okay, the FDA is a subset of the HHS?

Alix Mayer:

Correct.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah. So the evidence of effectiveness is that it may be effective. You know what that means, Dr. Mercola, for other vaccines before COVID came along? The efficacy threshold had to be 70%, as measured by a fourfold increase in antibody levels in someone before and after they got a vaccine. For EUA vaccines, "may be effective" means 30 to 50% effective. But in the case of the COVID shots, and I'm not going to go into depth on this unless you want to-

Dr. Mercola:

No, no, and it's interesting because they bastardized, not only that, do they lower the standard, but they use the relative risk, as opposed to absolute [risk].

Alix Mayer:

Right.

Dr. Mercola:

So it totally distorts it. So 95%, it's just 100% effectiveness may mean that if you have 20,000 people who got the vaccine, and 20,000 who didn't, and in that group that got the vaccine was one person who got COVID and the group that didn't get two persons. So that was 100% effectiveness. And they'll use that to confuse people so that the vaccine is 100% effective, and [crosstalk 00:34:22] statistically, they are correct. I mean, mathematically, it's a correct statement, but rationally, the average person, 99% of the people hearing that would seem to comply complete, absolute protection when it only reduces the risk at a very tiny level. So it's actually far less than 1%.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly.

Dr. Mercola:

The absolute risk.

Alix Mayer:

Right, the absolute risk is far less than 1%. In fact, in the Pfizer study, I think it was like a 0.6% risk, 0.6 of 1% risk of getting COVID while you were in the study if you didn't have a COVID shot.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, so I'll update that, too and then put another bullet point in confusion out the relative versus absolute risk-

Alix Mayer:

Yeah.

Dr. Mercola:

-because this is just – I mean that allows them maybe even with that minimal threshold, they still don't make it.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly, exactly. It's just, it's insane. And I remember an analysis very early in lockdowns – I never call it COVID - I just call it lockdowns. But I remember an analysis that Del Bigtree went over on The Highwire, and he said, if you added back all the probable cases of COVID to the clinical trials, the effectiveness went down to 90% to 29%. Very interesting. Okay, so now the next one is the known and potential benefits of the product outweigh the known and potential risks of the product. And I feel like this one is so squirrely and like everything having to do with vaccines, they overplay the benefits, and they downplayed the risks on purpose to manipulate this one. So that one has been completely manipulated. And it's probably also a weak point, as we get more science showing how dangerous these vaccines are.

Dr. Mercola:

Well, every one of these points have been manipulated.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly.

Dr. Mercola:

None of them – if they were abiding by truthful standards, none of these criteria would be met. None.

Exactly. Now, the last one I've boxed also, because this is the other super important one. There can be "no adequate, approved and available alternative." That includes drugs, and that includes vaccines. So let me go to the next slide. So I'm just going to-

Dr. Mercola:

Just go back to the last slide-

Alix Mayer:

Okay.

Dr. Mercola:

-because if any one of those points or criteria aren't met, then the EUA doesn't exist.

Alix Mayer:

Correct, which is why I needed-

Dr. Mercola:

You'd need everyone-

Alix Mayer:

[crosstalk 00:36:43] graphic. Yeah, exactly, Dr. Mercola. This is a four-legged stool, it can't stand on three legs, it can't stand on two legs, it can't stand on one leg. If any one of these legs goes away, you have to take your EUA products all off the market. And so you know, there's the emergency, there's no alternatives, it's 30% to 50% effective, and the benefits have to outweigh the risks, you take any one of these legs away and the vaccines are gone off the market by law. And I put emergency and alternatives in red, because those are two of those things. Remember, I said in the beginning to those things that they have a stranglehold on, those are the two things they are guarding like crazy. So the state of emergency, I'm going to go into depth on those two things. It must be maintained to keep EUA products on the market, as I said, which means that every variant that comes out, they have to make it sound super scary to keep the emergency going. So the variants serve a purpose. You got to think about these variants in the context of this crime, where they really have to keep the emergency going to keep their products on the market. And so you would think this emergency would stop maybe when we get to herd immunity, maybe if we get 90% vaccination uptake, maybe COVID is just going to go away, like smallpox did in the early 1900s and only 5% of people were vaccinated or like scarlet fever did when we didn't have a vaccine for scarlet fever. Maybe it'll just go away. But no, it's going to go away when the shots get full approval and the manufacturers get a full liability shield.

And that isn't a prediction, you can bank on that one. They will not go away before then, there's no way.

Alix Mayer:

Correct. Now, just diving deeper on the, I like to call them the four A's – no adequate, available and approved alternatives. As I said, it applies to both drugs and vaccines. If there's a fully approved product with an "adequate" supply, all EUA shots have to be pulled. This is why hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin were quashed. This is why the protocol that Steve Kirsch spent a million dollars investigating that actually works and includes something called fluvoxamine and a couple other drugs, it works. That was quashed also, and he was incredulous that he spent all that money and prove that his protocol works. And they quashed it, they don't care. And this also – and we're going to get into Comirnaty later in a couple slides. This is why Comirnaty is not treated as a fully approved product in the U.S. because if it were, then all the other vaccines that are EUA, they'd have to come off the market.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, Comirnaty, for those who don't know, it's the Pfizer vaccine.

Alix Mayer:

No, no, it's the BioNTech. That's what they want you to think. BioNTech.

Dr. Mercola:

Oh, that confused me. So are they partners with Pfizer?

Alix Mayer:

So BioNTech and Pfizer partnered to do the Pfizer vaccine, yes. But then I'm going to go into the detail about Comirnaty and the Comirnaty quasi-approval is just for BioNTech. It doesn't have to do with Pfizer, and this is why I'm doing this presentation because I'm going to explain what the heck is going on with that.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay.

Alix Mayer:

So this is the race to get liability protection, remember that's the other stranglehold that they want. They really want to get this liability protection. So once the COVID shots are fully approved, the manufacturer has full liability. So there's all this confusion about Comirnaty as we just talked about. Was it fully approved? Is it on the market? Is it interchangeable with the Pfizer

shot? And does it make COVID shot mandates legal? And does anybody know the answers to these questions? It's all the same answer.

Dr. Mercola:

Yep. It has two letters.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, it has two letters. It starts with an N and ends with an O. No, no, no, no. Okay, so let's, let's go into that. So, the FDA issued an intentionally confusing biological license application approval for Comirnaty. It was an unprecedented approval to both license the Comirnaty shot saying it's, "interchangeable with the Pfizer shot-

Dr. Mercola:

And the BLA, what's the BLA?

Alix Mayer:

Biological license approval.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay.

Alix Mayer:

Or biologic license approval. They said it's interchangeable with the Pfizer shot. But they also said it's legally distinct. But in that same approval, they retain the vaccine's liability shield by designating it EUA as well. And you know, from that chart I put up in the beginning why they did this. They want it to be fully approved, but they want the liability protection. So they did this BS dual approval. So it's licensed to me. This is from the exact language from the BLA. And the link is in the bottom here. It's licensed to be manufactured and introduced to the state commerce and marketed but it's not licensed to be given to anyone. And it's not available in the United States. I've gotten reports it's available in the U.K., New Zealand and other places, it is not available in the United States, because they're really scared of liability.

Alix Mayer:

Now, are you ready for this one, Dr. Mercola?

Dr. Mercola:

Sure.

Alix Mayer:

The BLA actually states that Comirnaty is only, "ready for approval," it never says it's approved in the entire document. And they buried this language in the pediatric section to confuse people even more. And so here's what they said. They say, "We are deferring submission of your pediatric studies for ages younger than 16. For this application, because this product is ready for approval for use in individuals 16 years of age and older, and a pediatric studies for younger ages have not been completed." Why did they do this?

Dr. Mercola:

Unpack that for us, because it's really interesting. Sixteen is an important number.

Alix Mayer:

Dr. Mercola, you're right. Sixteen is a very important number. You would think the age break would be 18 and up because maybe they're just going to do adults. That's a very typical age break for everything else that we do in this country. You know, laws that you can vote when you're 18, you can drink when you're 21. Why 16? Sixteen is a really odd number, that's the age you can drive a car. But the reason they did 16 is because then 16- and 17-year olds are still on the children's vaccination schedule. You guys getting this? So if the CDC recommends the shot for ages 16 and 17, that's considered to be on the children's schedule. And then the manufacturer gets full liability protection. And that's why this is ready to be approved for 16 and up, but not 18 and up.

Dr. Mercola:

Very clever and sneaky.

Alix Mayer:

Very clever. So here's the factsheet from Comirnaty, and the link is down here. So, factsheet language says this EUA for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine and Comirnaty – and this is this is misleading so I'll keep unpacking this – this will end when the Secretary of HHS determines that the circumstances justifying the EUA no longer exists or when there's a change in the approval status of the product such that an EUA is no longer needed. This is referring to Comirnaty, they're saying it's EUA. And more factsheet language. What if I decide not to get Comirnaty or the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine? It says under the EUA, it's your choice to receive or not receive the vaccine. Should you decide not to receive it, it will not change your standard medical care. Nobody's experiencing that. Remember the torture DOJ opinion and the mandates for employers? So the factsheet also says it's interchangeable with the Pfizer shot yet it's also legally distinct. I mean, could this be more confusing, Dr. Mercola?

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah. It's all intentional.

Alix Mayer:

But the courts are weighing in. Guess what? A federal judge just rejected the DoD claim that Pfizer, EUA and Comirnaty vaccines are interchangeable. They're not interchangeable. So that means that the Comirnaty vaccine is still EUA. It doesn't have full approval and it's not on the market. And then military members involved in lawsuits, they're challenging the military's COVID vaccine mandate, they filed an amended complaint seeking a new injunction after the judge last month rejected their assertion that the Pfizer-BioNTech and Comirnaty COVID vaccines are interchangeable. So we're still hammering on this legally. But a court has ruled, I think it was the Fifth Circuit Court, ruled they're not interchangeable.

Alix Mayer:

So how do we know that Comirnaty it is not being treated as fully approved? Here's a almost like a top five list. The approval states you have the right to accept or refuse the product. That means it's a EUA it's not available in the US because Comirnaty doesn't have liability protection. It's an alternative. So if it were available, it's an alternative and all other EUA shots would have to come off the market. Number four, the CDC advisory group ACIP, Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, would have to recommend it for ages 16 to 18. And the CDC would have added it to the children's recommended schedule. That's how we know it's not fully approved and on the market.

Now, here is the label for Comirnaty. And here it says it's emergency use authorization, it doesn't say it's fully approved, because it's not. But look at this, look at the safety information. They are recognizing that myocarditis and periocarditis have occurred in some people who've received the vaccine more commonly in males under 40 years of age than among females and older males. So this is saying that young men are getting heart inflammation. And what we know from all the anecdotal reports we see and hear, like the 300 athletes who have just literally like died or collapsed on the field, and children in schools were dying of heart attacks. That's what's going on here. And the reason they have to declare this is because they know it. They know it's happening. And that's the only way they can be sued is if they know there's a problem with their vaccine, and they don't declare it. So they declare it here in very mild language as if it's not that big of a deal, but it's a very big deal.

Dr. Mercola:

Yup. It sure doesn't look like a black box warning to me.

Alix Mayer:

No, it should be.

It should be. Yeah, people are dying from this. Young people are dying, not old people. Young people,

Alix Mayer:

Young people who have a 99.9973% chance of recovering from COVID. So here we go. Here we have, in Reuters – I'm just using this because it's a mainstream media source. They say there are eight reports of heart inflammation among young children to VAERS. There's a whole lot more than that. We know that for sure. But this isn't Reuters-

Dr. Mercola:

That's only in the – it's in the U.S. And that's literally two weeks after they started injecting them.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly.

Dr. Mercola:

When they say young kids, I'm assuming it's under 12.

Alix Mayer:

Probably, I don't actually know.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah.

Alix Mayer:

See next slide. And finally, how will Comirnaty get on the market? Only when one thing happens – when it's fully approved, the CDC will add the shot to the children's schedule, even if it's only recommended for ages 16 and 17. The Holy Grail is that any shot on the CDC's recommended schedule for children gets full liability protection according to the 1986 Act. This is why they're going after our children when they have a 99.9973% recovery rate. That concludes our presentation. Oh, except I want to tell everybody something.

Dr. Mercola:

Buy the book.

Robert Kennedy Jr., he has published a best-selling book called "The Real Anthony Fauci." It is unbelievably well-crafted and laid out. And it is so fully referenced. It's unbelievable. Just the first chapter, it's 22 pages of references. Please, everybody read this, buy multiple copies and give it to everybody on your holiday gift list, whether they want it or not.

Dr. Mercola:

It's a good book, very good book. Bobby gave me a draft copy. I was one of six or 10 people that got a copy before it was published. I was able to write a review for it because of that. I put it on Amazon. And now it's the number one review for his book on Amazon. So-

Alix Mayer:

Oh, incredible. Good job.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah. He wrote the foreword for my books. That was the least I could do for him. But with respect to this vaccine, obviously, this is done for financial reasons. Do you happen to know – Pfizer is the largest drug company in the world, I believe. You know what their best-selling product is, where they made the most money from? In other words, what is the best-selling drug in the world?

Alix Mayer:

My guess would be Viagra.

Dr. Mercola:

Well, that would not be correct, but it's a good guess. The better guess would be Lipitor statins, which used to be the number one drug in the world. But they have been far eclipsed, far eclipsed by the COVID jab.

Alix Mayer:

Yup.

Dr. Mercola:

\$37 billion profit, maybe profit – but revenues for Pfizer this year. \$37 billion.

Alix Mayer:

That's sick.

The number one selling drug in the history of the world.

Alix Mayer:

That's sick, and they were slapped, Pfizer, with the biggest criminal fine in history of \$1.3 million before-

Dr. Mercola:

Oh, no, no, no. No, it was in the billions.

Alix Mayer:

I'm sorry, billions. I'm sorry.

Dr. Mercola:

I think it was it was \$2.3 billion. You know-

Alix Mayer:

Total \$2.3 billion. But the criminal part of it was \$1.3 billion.

Dr. Mercola:

Okay.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah.

Dr. Mercola:

Well, they're all criminals, every one of them.

Alix Mayer:

They are.

Dr. Mercola:

Johnson & Johnson has probably collectively over \$5 billion in charges. Merck with their Vioxx lawsuit, that was \$2 billion to \$3 billion. They killed 60,000 people and I think Bobby, in the book, says it's even more. I was surprised because I - we actually published a warning for that drug, Vioxx, in the year 1999, before it was released into the population, and warned that taking

this drug will likely kill people from heart attacks. And it did. It killed 60,000 people before they removed it from the market. And, you know, they cleverly manipulated the ways the legal system, they were able to avoid, because they were thinking it was going to be a threat to their business. But collectively, they should have had a \$25 billion lawsuit, but they negotiated down to \$2 billion to \$3 billion.

Alix Mayer:

Right. And then Merck came out with the HPV (human papillomavirus) vaccine, which is also very dangerous. One in 38 girls-

Dr. Mercola:

That's right. Yeah, that was-

Alix Mayer:

-will get an autoimmune disease within six or seven months. And the joke about HPV vaccine is it stands for "health pay for Vioxx."

Dr. Mercola:

That's right. What's your perception of the most dangerous vaccine outside of COVID? Would it be Gardasil or-

Alix Mayer:

Gardasil and hepatitis B, I would say, go to the top of the list for me. Yeah, not just because I was injured by hepatitis B. But most of the people I know who are vaccine-injured, it was the adults were hep B or tetanus vaccine. MMR (measles, mumps and rubella) is definitely associated with the onset of [crosstalk 00:51:21]

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, and the indications for that those vaccines are more absurd, at least on par with the indications they're doing for COVID for kids. I was just railed with anger, when they launched this thing in 1990. Because it was -I think it was '90 - for infants, they give it to them on the first day of birth. First day, day one-

Alix Mayer:

Right.

-where they're really susceptible to these injuries. And the justification was, "Well, we don't want him to get hepatitis when they get older." The only way you get hepatitis is through blood transfusion of contaminated blood or sex with an infected person, or potentially their mother could be infected. So if you wanted to guard against that, they could just do a hepatitis screen on the mom, they do it for a lot of other tests. Why don't they just add that instead of injecting every newborn?

Alix Mayer:

Right, and they do that screening in Europe, but they don't do it here. And more than 99% of mothers do not have hepatitis B, yet they're injecting every baby on day one. I remember fighting to not get that stuck into my kids when they were born. I had twins in 2002. And I was so exhausted from having been pregnant with twins on bedrest. And I was sick, as you know, while I was pregnant, that I finally relented and gave in. I felt very bullied into it. And I regret it now. And the reason they mandated hepatitis B vaccine on children is for two reasons. One is because when you put it on the children's schedule, they get liability protection. And number two is they actually couldn't reach the drug addicts who they actually wanted to inject. So they just brought it into the hospitals for children.

Dr. Mercola:

It's all criminal. But I think nothing is as egregious as the indication for this COVID jab to the kids under 12. When I interviewed Bobby for his book, he made the claim and there's no reason to deny it, because I haven't seen any data to support it otherwise – he just usually doesn't make claims like this, unless there's a lot of data behind it. But there has never been a death from COVID in any child that was healthy.

Alix Mayer:

Right. That's right.

Dr. Mercola:

Zero Deaths, zero deaths.

Alix Mayer:

That's right.

Dr. Mercola:

So it's impossible to have any benefit if you have a healthy child-

Alix Mayer:

Yeah.

Dr. Mercola:

It makes no sense why they're doing it. They don't even have one, not even one death.

Alix Mayer:

Right. Now, there's been none in unhealthy children. Yeah, every medical intervention is a riskbenefit equation. And it doesn't even calculate for kids at all. They should never be getting COVID shots. They don't prevent transmission. They don't prevent cases. They don't prevent hospitalization or death. So there's no case for it. I remember listening to Dr. Cowan in an interview a couple of weeks ago, and he said, he explains to people, "Now you know that these COVID shots don't prevent transmission, right?" And the person will say, "Yeah, right." And so then he'll say, "So why did you get it?" And they'll say, "Oh, to protect my grandma."

Dr. Mercola:

They don't know.

Alix Mayer:

-shots don't prevent transmission, and how many kids are going to die protecting grandma?

Dr. Mercola:

Well, they're not protecting to begin with-

Alix Mayer:

I know.

Dr. Mercola:

-but under the apparent pretext of protecting grandma.

Alix Mayer:

Under the pretext of protecting grandma. That's right. How many? So we're doing everything we can at Children's Health Defense, we are litigators. Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. is our chief legal counsel and there's so many amazing attorneys working at Children's Health Defense and the California Chapter and all the chapters around the world.

What are some of your most exciting initiatives now?

Alix Mayer:

Well, we had just recently had a big win in California. Los Angeles Unified School District is one of the biggest school districts the country, and they were trying to send unvaccinated students into an off-campus independent study program called City of Angels. And we just got that overturned because our lawyers argued so brilliantly, they said that if the school can determine if children get vaccines, then they can mandate any other treatment onto children as well. That would be illegal to give them that power, especially when, you know, you probably know this, you send your kid to school, they wouldn't even give your kid an aspirin without a note from the parent, in writing. So the fact that schools cannot mandate COVID shots, and that's a big source of confusion in California, because a lot of schools are actually mandating COVID shots on their students. They don't have the authority. The school doesn't. The principal doesn't. The superintendent doesn't. The school district doesn't. They're all doing it. But the only authority who can actually do it is the Department of Public Health, and they haven't done it because it's illegal.

Dr. Mercola:

And there's some states, I believe, once the authorization for the 5- to 11-year olds got passed, that we're bribing kids at school with pizza, and encourage, "Don't tell anyone that we're doing this, okay? Just keep it between you and me." And they give them a pizza and they jab them.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, there was a woman who, I think, was on Tucker Carlson recently at the LA USD school district. And they injected her young child who was already very injured and in poor health to begin with, without her permission. They told him not to tell his parents, and he got sick. And all he wanted was a slice of pizza. It's absolutely-

Dr. Mercola:

That was in LA? That was in LA though.

Alix Mayer:

I believe it was. Yeah. And so Children's Health Defense, we sued the FDA over the approval of Comirnaty. So we expect that to go in our favor at some point, but legal cases take a long time.

Dr. Mercola:

Oh, yeah. Yeah. Years, frequently. So let's get to this and any other irons in the fire with respect to likely victories down the road?

Yeah, Children's Health Defense has, I think, probably two dozen cases going right now, at least.

Dr. Mercola:

Wow.

Alix Mayer:

There's 11 cases against Merck for Gardasil, because they actually covered up data in their clinical trials, which was found with a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. And we have other cases around COVID, around masking and mandates. And we have a case against Rutgers. There's a case in New York against Mayor de Blasio. We have so many cases, it's really amazing. [crosstalk 0:57:25]

Dr. Mercola:

Are you doing anything with the FOIA request for the FDA on the data, that they said that, "We are so overwhelmed with FOIA requests that it's going to be 55 years," which is beyond shocking. And then they later said, "Wait, it's actually going to be 75 years before we're able to comply with this." Seventy-five years.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, it's absolutely ridiculous, if anybody's paying attention. I don't know what we're doing with that at Children's Health Defense. But we're probably doing something that I'm just not aware of.

Dr. Mercola:

Oh, my gosh. It's laughable.

Alix Mayer:

It is.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, 75 years. It's worse than the Kennedy assassination. I think Biden just released some papers on that, which was in '64. So 50 years. It's over 50 years,

Alix Mayer:

More than 50 years. Yeah. What a good guy.

All right, anything else you'd like to close with? Or give a recommendation for Children's Health Defense and how they could sign up and support them?

Alix Mayer:

Yeah. If you're in the United States, go to ChildrensHealthDefense.org or anywhere around the world, go to ChildrensHealthDefense.org and you can become a lifetime member for \$10. And that really helps us with standing in our legal cases, because the more people we represent, the stronger our cases are. If you're in California, go to ca.ChildrensHealthDefense.org and you can become a member through our California chapter as well. And then please buy "The Real Anthony Fauci" and again, give it to anybody on your holiday gift list. Even people who don't want it, they need to read it.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah, it's really doing well in sales. I think it's currently number two or two or three, but it's been, it's been in the top 10. It only slipped down to nine for a few days. But most of the time, it's been either one, two or three. So it's got some legs on it and I hope it continues to have some legs because the information that revealed in there is very compelling. And it's going to open a lot people's eyes. But the problem, the challenge with it is you give it to them on a silver platter, right? But the propaganda has been so effective in producing a mass delusional psychosis, that it doesn't matter. You can tell them – they can have their own relatives die in front of them with a shot in their arm and they'll think it's just a coincidence.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly. Yeah, I-

Dr. Mercola:

They just think it's a coincidence. It's the most irrational, delusional perception of reality you can ever imagine.

Alix Mayer:

I agree. I always say the teaching will come when the student is ready. There are a lot students who aren't ready. We also like to say everybody's like popcorn. Everybody's going to pop when they're ready. Some pieces never pop.

Dr. Mercola:

Yeah. But if anyone is not in this delusional psychosis and have some objective ability to discern reality, then this is a great book that will really enlighten them. And obviously, many people believe it's a good book. He's probably coming up on a half a million copies sold now. It can't be at that level for that long without being at half a million. So it's great.

Alix Mayer:

Exactly.

Dr. Mercola:

Now hopefully it reaches a million.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah, I'm sure it will, I have 500 pounds of Bobby's Fauci book coming to my house right now, today, sometime.

Dr. Mercola:

What are you going to do with all those books?

Alix Mayer:

They're for our events.

Dr. Mercola:

Oh, okay. You sell them at the events?

Alix Mayer:

Well, we give them to donors and yeah, we sell them.

Dr. Mercola:

Oh, okay. That's nice. Great.

Alix Mayer:

Yeah.

Dr. Mercola:

Awesome. All right. Well, Alix, thanks for all that you're doing and your commitment to the cause and informing and enlightening people about this important topic.

Alix Mayer:

Thanks, Dr. Mercola, for having me on. It's really an honor and a pleasure. I really appreciate it.

Dr. Mercola:

All right. Take care.

Alix Mayer:

You too.